## REMARKS

The Official Action dated August 30, 2006 has been received and its contents carefully noted. In view thereof, claims 2-9 and 13 have been canceled in their entirety without prejudice nor disclaimer of the subject matter set forth therein while claims 1 and 12 have been amended in order to better define that which Applicants regard as the invention. Accordingly, 1 and 10-12 are presently pending in the instant application.

With reference now to the Official Action and particularly page 2 thereof, claim 12 has been objected to as including minor informalities. Particularly, the Examiner states that in claim 12, before each step, an "a" should be inserted. In this regard, as can be seen from the foregoing amendments, independent claim 12 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 12 is now in proper formal condition for allowance.

With reference to paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Office Action, Applicants hereby acknowledge the election of Species I wherein the Examiner indicates that claims 1-5, 10, 11 and 12 are readable thereon. In this regard, claims 1 and 10-12 remain pending in the present application. With respect to claims 6-9 withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner as being directed to a non-elected species, as can be seen from the foregoing amendments, each of these claims have been canceled. Consequently, it is respectfully submitted that Applicants' claimed invention is now proper condition for allowance.

With reference now to paragraph 5 of the Office Action, the drawings have been objected to in that the Examiner notes in Fig. 3, the depiction of arm 26 is confusing. In this regard, filed concurrently herewith is a Submission of Replacement Drawings wherein a replacement sheet including Fig. 3 has been submitted. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the replacement sheet be fully considered by the Examiner and approved.

With reference to page 5 of the Office Action, Applicants hereby acknowledge the

Examiner's indication that claim 12 is allowable over the prior art of record pending

correction of the minor informality noted above and that claim 4 has been objected to as

being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In this regard, as can be seen from the foregoing amendments, independent claim 1 has been

amended to include the subject matter of previous dependent claim 4 thereby rewriting claim

4 in independent form. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1

and 12 are now in proper condition for allowance.

With reference to paragraph 8 of the Office Action, claims 1-3, 5, 10 and 11 have

been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,863,188

issued to Killian in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0002764 to Pflugner et al. or

U.S. Patent No. 5,467,971 issued to Hurtubise et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed in

that the combination proposed by the Examiner neither discloses nor suggests that which is

presently set forth by Applicants' claimed invention.

As noted hereinabove, independent claim 1 has been amended to include the subject

matter of previous dependent claim 4 which has been indicated as being allowable by the

Examiner over the prior art of record. Accordingly, with such amendments further discussion

with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3, 5, 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is no longer

believed to be warranted.

Therefore, in view of the foregoing it is respectfully requested that the objections and

rejections of record be reconsidered and withdrawn by the Examiner, that claims 1 and 10-12

be allowed and that the application be passed to issue.

10206140.1

Docket No. 742425-27

Serial No. 10/781,798

Page 7

Should the Examiner believe a conference would be of benefit in expediting the prosecution of the instant application, he is hereby invited to telephone counsel to arrange such a conference.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald R. Studebaker

en.L

Reg. No. 32,815

Nixon Peabody LLP 401 9<sup>th</sup> Street N.W.

Suite 900

Washington, D. C. 20004

(202) 585-8000